

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Spontaneous and stimulated emission from atoms prepared in the super-radiant state

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1977 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 10 L159 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/10/9/004)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 30/05/2010 at 14:06

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Spontaneous and stimulated emission from atoms prepared in the super-radiant state

Miguel Orszag

Physics Department, Ryerson Polytechnical Institute, Toronto, Canada

Received 17 June 1977

Abstract. Spontaneous and stimulated emission from atoms prepared in the super-radiant state are studied, in the non-resonant case. An exact equation of motion for R_3 and approximate solutions are obtained.

Super-radiant emission for two-level atoms in the resonant case has been studied by various authors, in the past, at various approximations (Bonifacio and Preparata 1970, Bonifacio *et al* 1971, Glauber and Haake 1976).

In this letter, we make use of the basic non-resonant model for super-radiance to derive the equation of motion for R_3 , calculate $\langle R_3 \rangle(t)$ and $\bar{n}(t)$ in the cases of spontaneous and stimulated emission and determine the photon statistics for short times ($\tau \ll r^{-1/2}$).

The basic Hamiltonian is:

$$H = \hbar \omega a^{\dagger} a + \hbar \omega_0 R_3 + \hbar K (a R^+ + a^{\dagger} R^-).$$
⁽¹⁾

Using Heisenberg's equation of motion for R_3 , we write:

$$\dot{R}_3 = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[R_3, H] = (iK)(a^{\dagger}R^- - aR^+),$$
 (2)

and

$$\ddot{R}_3 = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[\dot{R}_3, H].$$
 (3)

After some straightforward algebra, one gets:

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}t^2} + \hat{\Omega}^2\right) R_3 + 2K^2(R^2 - 3R_3^2) = \Delta(\omega^{\mathrm{RWA}} - \omega\hat{N}), \qquad (4)$$

where

$$\Delta = \omega_0 - \omega, \qquad \hat{\Omega}^2 = (2K^2)(2\hat{N} + 1) + \Delta^2, \qquad \hat{N} = a^{\dagger}a + R_3, \qquad (5)$$

 $\omega^{\text{RWA}} = H/\hbar$ (the Hamiltonian in the rotating wave approximation). Scaling the time $\tau = Kt$, equation (4) can be written as:

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} + \hat{\Omega}_1^2\right) R_3 - 6R_3^2 = \Delta_1(\omega_1^{RWA} - \omega_1\hat{N}) - 2R^2,$$
(6)

L159

where

$$\Delta_1 = \Delta/K, \qquad \hat{\Omega}_1^2 = 2(2\hat{N}+1) + (\Delta/K)^2, \omega_1^{\text{RWA}} = \omega^{\text{RWA}}/K, \qquad \omega_1 = \omega/K.$$
(7)

Equation (6) has been solved exactly for one atom (see, for example Allen and Eberly 1975 or Jaynes and Cummings 1963). In this equation all operators involved besides R_3 are constants of motion. Specifically, $\hat{\Omega}_1^2$ and the whole second member are constants.

An approximate solution can be obtained by assuming:

$$\langle R_3^2 \rangle = \langle R_3 \rangle^2, \tag{8}$$

which amounts to neglecting the fluctuations of R_3 . In the super-radiant case this should be a good approximation, since fluctuations occur around $m \sim 0$.

The initial state of the system is specified by:

$$|r, m = 0, n(0), t = 0\rangle.$$

Using the condition (8), equation (6) becomes a c-number differential equation. Define

$$y(\tau) = \langle R_3 \rangle_{\substack{m=0\\n(0)}}(\tau),$$

then

$$\ddot{y} + (\langle \hat{\Omega}_{1}^{2} \rangle_{m=0}) y - 6y^{2} = \langle \Delta_{1}(\omega_{1}^{\text{RWA}} - \omega_{1}\hat{N}) - 2R^{2} \rangle_{m=0}_{n(0)}$$
(9)

where $\langle \hat{\Omega}_1^2 \rangle_{m=0,n(0)}$ and $\langle \Delta_1(\omega_1^{RWA} - \omega_1 \hat{N}) \rangle_{m=0,n(0)}$ can be easily computed, since they are expectation values of conserved operators:

$$\langle \hat{\Omega}_{1}^{2} \rangle_{\substack{m \neq 0 \\ n(0)}} = 4n(0) + 2 + \Delta_{1}^{2},$$

$$\langle \Delta_{1}(\omega_{1}^{\text{RWA}} - \omega_{1}\hat{N}) - 2R^{2} \rangle_{\substack{m = 0 \\ n(0)}} = -2r(r+1).$$
(10)

Making use of equation (10), equation (9) becomes

$$\ddot{y} + y(4n(0) + 2 + \Delta_1^2) - 6y^2 = -2r(r+1).$$
⁽¹¹⁾

Multiplying equation (11) by \dot{y} and integrating, choosing zero for the integration constant, one gets

$$(\dot{y})^2 = 4y(y - y_1)(y - y_2), \tag{12}$$

where

$$y_{1,2} = (4n(0) + 2 + \Delta_1^2)/8 \pm [(4n(0) + 2 + \Delta_1^2)^2/64 + r(r+1)]^{1/2}.$$
 (13)

The solution of equation (12) can be readily expressed in terms of elliptic functions:

$$y(\tau) = \langle R_3 \rangle_{\substack{m=0\\n(0)}}(\tau) = \frac{y_1 y_2}{y_1 - y_2} sd^2(u, m),$$
(14)

where:

$$u = \tau (y_1 - y_2)^{1/2}, \qquad m = -\frac{y_2}{y_1 - y_2} > 0.$$
(15)

For short times, $sd(u, m) \approx u$, and we get:

$$\langle R_3 \rangle_{\substack{m=0\\n(0)}}(\tau) = \left(\frac{y_1 y_2}{y_1 - y_2}\right) u^2 = -r(r+1)\tau^2,$$
 (16)

which is essentially Dicke's result (Dicke 1954).

To compute $\tilde{n}(\tau)$, we observe that

$$\tilde{n}(\tau) + \langle R_3 \rangle(\tau) = \text{constant} = \tilde{n}(0),$$

so that

$$\bar{n}(\tau) = \bar{n}(0) - \frac{y_1 y_2}{y_1 - y_2} s d^2(u, m), \tag{17}$$

or, in a final form

$$\bar{n}(\tau) = \bar{n}(0) + [r(r+1)sd^2(u,m)]/2[(4n(0)+2+\Delta_1^2)^2/64+r(r+1)]^{1/2}.$$
(18)

In the special case $\Delta_1 = 0$ (resonance), n(0) = 0 (spontaneous emission) and $r \gg 1$, equation (18) becomes:

$$\bar{n}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} rsd^2(u, m),$$
 with $u \approx \tau (2r+1)^{1/2}$ and $m \sim \frac{1}{2}$. (19)

These results agree with Bonifacio and Preparata (1970).

Finally, to study the photon statistics in the spontaneous emission case, consider the probability amplitude for n photons at time τ (scaled time), given by

$$p(n,\tau) = \langle m = -n | \langle n | \exp(-iH\tau/\hbar K) | 0 \rangle | m = 0 \rangle.$$
⁽²⁰⁾

Differentiating both sides of equation (8) with respect to τ we get:

$$i\dot{p}(n,\tau) = \langle m = -n | \langle n | [\omega_1 \hat{N} + \Delta_1 R_3 + (a^{\dagger} R^{-} + a R^{+})] \exp(-iH\tau/\hbar K) | 0 \rangle | 0 \rangle.$$
(21)

By using the well known properties of R_3 , R^+ , R^- , equation (21) becomes:

$$i\dot{p}(n,\tau) = [n(r-n+1)(r+n)]^{1/2}p(n-1,\tau) - n\Delta_1 p(n,\tau) + [(n+1)(r+n+1)(r-n)]^{1/2}p(n+1,\tau).$$
(22)

Equation (22) cannot be solved exactly, but we can solve it in the short-time limit, if we make the following assumption:

$$n \ll r$$
 or $\tau \ll r^{-1/2}$.

In this case, the equation (22) becomes

$$i\dot{p}(n,\tau) \approx rn^{1/2}p(n-1,\tau) + r(n+1)^{1/2}p(n+1,\tau) - n\Delta_1 p(n,\tau).$$
(23)

Equation (23) can be solved exactly; the solution for the n-photon probability amplitude is:

$$p(n,\tau) = (-1)^n \frac{(r\tau)^n}{(n!)^{1/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{r^2}{2}(\tau - \frac{i\Delta_1}{r^2})^2\right] \exp[i(n-1)\Delta_1\tau].$$
 (24)

Therefore, the *n*-photon probability turns out to be

$$|p(n,\tau)|^{2} = \frac{(r^{2}\tau^{2})^{n}}{n!} \exp(-r^{2}\tau^{2}) \exp[(\omega_{0}-\omega)^{2}/2K^{2}r^{2}].$$
(25)

If we take a large number of atoms, consistent with our earlier assumption, then equation (25) is a Poisson distribution, the same as in the resonant case (Bonifacio and Preparata 1970) with $\bar{n} = r^2 \tau^2$.

References

Allen L and Eberly J H 1975 Optical Resonance and Two-Level Atoms (New York: Wiley) Bonifacio R and Preparata G 1970 Phys. Rev. A 2 336 Bonifacio R, Schwendimann P and Haake F 1971 Phys. Rev. A 4 854 Dicke R H 1954 Phys. Rev. 93 99 Glauber R J and Haake F 1976 Phys. Rev. A 13 357 Jaynes E T and Cummings F W 1963 Proc. IEEE 51 89